

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,453	09/10/2003	David Walter Carr	15361-US-CONT	2235
23553 MARKS & CL	7590 02/15/2008 FRK		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 957			TRAN, F	PHUC H
STATION B OTTAWA, ON	Î V 1D 507	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	N RIF 357		2616	
·	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	02/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/658,453	CARR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PHUC H. TRAN	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No	ovember 2007.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	<i>3</i>		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	•		
Disposition of Claims					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 41-44 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		war sar		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-44</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· ·		,		
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	•		. "		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
			. :		
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	·			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		-2		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

10/658,453 Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 3. Regarding to claim 41, the "non-empty proper subset" and "a non-empty proper subset of the preceding set" in lines 3-5 was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art. As same as claim 44.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting

Application/Control Number:

10/658,453 Art Unit: 2616

ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 41-44 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6643293. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because following of:

A device for aggregating a plurality of virtual connections into a single virtual connection (see claim 1 lines 1-2 of Patent '93), comprising: a first set of at least one arbitrator, the first set of at least one arbitrator selecting a non-empty proper subset of virtual connections from among the plurality of virtual connections (see claim 2 lines 1-4 of Patent '93); at least one additional set of at least one arbitrator, each additional set operating in series following the first set, each additional set selecting a non-empty proper subset of virtual connections from among the virtual connections of the preceding set, and the last set selecting exactly one virtual connection (see claim 2 lines 4-7 of Patent '93); and a shaper to determine in the time domain when the traffic from the one virtual connection selected by the last set of at least one arbitrator is to be transmitted over the single virtual connection in accordance with at least one traffic descriptor associated with the single virtual connection (see claim 1 lines 6-9 of Patent '93).

Applicant's claims merely broaden the scope of the patent by eliminating the "hierarchical arbitrator". It has been held that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. In re karlson,

10/658,453

Art Unit: 2616

136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex Parte Raine, 168 USPQ 375 (bd. App. 1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not need would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H. TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3172. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2616

P.t 2/12/08

BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER